



#15

PATENTS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

STILLWAGON ET AL.

Serial No: 08/106,436

Filed: August 13, 1993

For: POST LATCHING SYSTEMS

RECEIVED

MAR 10 1995

GROUP 3500

Art Unit: 3508

Examiner: Dino, S.

**SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington, D. C. 20231

Sir:

This Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) supplements Applicant's November 15, 1993 IDS. The present IDS is being filed at this late date in an effort to clarify the record of the present application. **No new references are being presented by the present IDS. Rather, references L and BZ of Applicant's November 15, 1993 IDS are being addressed / clarified.** It is initially worth noting that Applicant submits that References L and BZ have been fully reviewed and considered by the Examiner, and made of record; as is evidenced by the fact that the Examiner "initialed" those references on the Forms PTO-1449 that accompanied Applicant's November 15, 1993 IDS.


The first purpose of this IDS is to note that on the Forms PTO-1449 that accompanied Applicant's November 15, 1993 IDS, the document number associated with reference BZ was transposed. In other words, **reference BZ was incorrectly identified as being U.S. Patent No. "4,895,110" on Applicant's Form PTO-1449. Reference BZ should have been identified as --4,859,110--**, as should be apparent upon reviewing the copy of U.S. Patent No. 4,859,110 filed herewith. **Applicant apologizes for this clerical mistake and respectfully requests that reference BZ be properly identified on the patent issuing from the present patent application.**

The second purpose of this IDS is to note that Applicant does have a copy of reference L, as is evidenced by the fact that a copy of reference L, which is Austria Patent Document Number 196185, is being filed herewith. While the Forms PTO-1449 that accompanied Applicant's November 15, 1993 IDS specify "copy not available" for reference L, that is not correct. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that reference L be properly identified on the patent issuing from the present patent application.

Again, Applicant submits that references L and BZ have already been fully considered and made of record, as is evidenced by the fact that the Examiner "signed off" on those references. Applicant is filing the present IDS in a good faith, in an effort to clarify the record of the present patent application.

It is Applicant's contention that the discussed references do not teach the present invention and that the claims of the above-identified application distinguish the present invention from those inventions and theories set forth in the discussed references.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Witherspoon

Reg. No. 36,723

Agent for Applicant

Date: 3/1/95

File: 1952-1-0150

Tel: 404/951-2623

P.O. Box 720601

Atlanta, Georgia 30358-2601


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

on 3/1/95

Louis T. Isaac  
(Typed or printed name of person mailing  
correspondence)

  
(Signature of person mailing correspondence)

U.S. Serial Number: **08/106,436**  
Title: **POST LATCHING SYSTEMS**  
Art Unit: **3508**  
Our Docket Number: **1952-1-0150**

U.S. Filing Date: **August 13, 1993**  
Examiner: **Dino, S.**

Information Disclosure Statement  
Copies of References L and BZ  
Return Postcard